Quantum dynamics of a two-state system induced by a chirped zero-area pulse
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It is well known that area pulses make Rabi oscillation and chirped pulses in the adiabatic interaction regime induce complete population inversion of a two-state system. Here we show that chirped zero-area pulses could engineer an interplay between the adiabatic evolution and Rabi-like rotations. In a proof-of-principle experiment utilizing spectral chirping of femtosecond laser pulses with a resonant spectral hole, we demonstrate that the chirped zero-area pulses could induce, for example, complete population inversion and return of the cold rubidium atom two-state system. Experimental result agrees well with the theoretically considered overall dynamics, which could be approximately modeled to a Ramsey-like three-pulse interaction, where the x and z rotations are driven by the hole and the main pulse, respectively.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum technology, a new field of engineering based on quantum mechanics, is expected to make a defining impact on life in the 21st century [1]. In many applications of quantum technology, such as quantum computing, quantum cryptography, quantum simulation, and quantum metrology, the ability to control the dynamics of quantum systems is an essential necessity. Often referred to as a qubit in quantum information science [2], which is used as an elementary building block in more complex quantum devices and machines, a two-state quantum system is defined by a coherent superposition of two energy states.

The dynamics of a two-state quantum system induced by coherent radiation has been extensively studied: Rabi oscillations [3,4], Ramsey fringes [5], and spin-echo dynamics [6] are among the best known examples. These examples are well understood in the basis of the pulse area concept [7,8], where the pulse (temporal) area $\Theta$ is defined by $\Theta = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Omega(t)dt$, with a Rabi frequency $\Omega(t)$ that is defined (e.g., in laser-atom interactions) by $\Omega(t) = \mu E(t)/\hbar$ with $\mu$ the atomic transition dipole moment and $E(t)$ the envelope of a laser electric field in resonant frequency. Besides Rabi oscillations, there are only a few exactly solvable models, including the Landau-Zener, Rosen-Zener, Allen-Eberly, Bambini-Berman, Demkov, Nikitin, and Carroll-Hioe models [4,9–12].

In general, coherent radiation is a complex and therefore powerful control means; its amplitude, phase, frequency, and polarization can be used as independent control parameters. Of particular relevance in the context of the present paper, another important control parameter in the two-state system dynamics is chirp [13,14], the frequency sweeping rate of coherent radiation in time. When a laser frequency $\omega(t)$ changes linearly in time with the (temporal) chirp parameter $\alpha$ as $\omega(t) = \omega_0 + 2\alpha t$, across $\omega_0$, the Bohr transition frequency of the two-state system, a complete population inversion (CPI) between the two states occurs (when the adiabatic condition [15] is satisfied all along the interaction) and the two-state system evolves in time through a so-called rapid adiabatic passage [16].

In this paper we consider chirped zero-area pulses to study the two-state system dynamics induced by them. To begin with, in the basis of the pulse-area theorem [16], simple zero-area pulses make no net transition, leaving the two-state system intact. However, to be more precise, the system does change during the dynamics, although it ends up as a complete population return (CPR) after the completion of the interaction. In that regard, certain manipulation of the initial zero-area pulse could alter the system evolution completely, leading to a significant change in the final transition probability. Even CPI could take place by detuning the zero-area pulse [17,18], where an abrupt phase change makes transitions in the adiabatic basis or a crossover between adiabatic evolution and Rabi oscillations. Inspired by these counterintuitive examples, we proceed further to consider chirping an on-resonance zero-area pulse in this paper. For this we have conducted laser-atom interaction experiments with intense shaped laser pulses and spatially confined atomic vapor. The results are that (i) chirped zero-area pulses could produce both CPI and CPR, (ii) the excited-state probability oscillates as a function of the effective pulse-area defined by pulses with zero chirp, and (iii) the given dynamics can be modeled in terms of a Ramsey-type three-pulse sequence $R_x(\pi/2)R_y(\Theta)R_x(\pi/2)$ (to be explained below), all of which can be summarized by an interplay between adiabatic evolution and Rabi-like rotations.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we describe the model and analyze theoretically the coherent excitation induced by chirped zero-area pulses. A detailed interpretation of the dynamics is considered in Sec. III. Section IV is devoted to the experimental description and we present the experimental results in Sec. V. A summary is given in Sec. VI.

II. THEORETICAL CONSIDERATION

When the electric field of a laser pulse is defined in the time domain as

$$E(t) = \tilde{E}(t) \cos(\omega_0 t + \varphi),$$

(1)

where $\tilde{E}(t)$ and $\varphi$ are the envelope and phase, respectively, of the electric-field that oscillates with the carrier frequency of $\omega_0$ set to the Bohr transition frequency of the two-state atom, the pulse area $\Theta$ is given under the rotating-wave
approximation by
\[ \Theta = \frac{2\mu}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt = \frac{\sqrt{8\pi \mu}}{\hbar} \widetilde{E}(\omega_0), \] (2)
where \( \widetilde{E}(\omega) \) is the amplitude spectrum of the electric field. In that regard, on-resonance pulses with a zero resonant-frequency component, i.e., \( \widetilde{E}(\omega_0) = 0 \), are zero-area pulses (\( \Theta = 0 \)) defined in the frequency domain, which is consistent with the zero-area pulse alternatively defined in time domain [17]. For instance, a Gaussian pulse with a spectral hole around an adiabatic basis [19] (to trace the system’s state mixing the two-state system in three steps: first to an interaction basis under \( \Theta = 0 \) defined in the frequency domain, which is consistent with the zero-area pulse alternatively defined in time domain [17]).

Let us consider now a chirped zero-area pulse, by chirping the above zero-area pulse, which reads
\[ \frac{\widetilde{E}(\omega)}{E_0} = \exp\left(-\frac{(\omega - \omega_0)^2}{\Delta \omega_1^2}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{(\omega - \omega_0)^2}{\Delta \omega_2^2}\right), \] (3)
where the two constituent Gaussian pulses are simultaneously chirped with the frequency chirp \( c_2 \). The electric field in time domain is then given by
\[ E(t) = E_1(t)\cos[(\omega_0 + \alpha t)\tau_1] + \varphi_1 \]
\[ - E_2(t)\cos[(\omega_0 + \beta t)\tau_2] + \varphi_2 \]
\[ = E_1(t) - E_2(t), \] (5)
where the amplitude and the phase for each pulse \( i = 1, 2 \) are, respectively,
\[ E_i(t) = E_0 \Delta \omega_i \frac{\tau_{0,i}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{e^{\frac{i\omega_1 t}{\tau_i}}}{\sqrt{\tau_i}}, \] (6)
\[ \varphi_i = -\frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1}\frac{2c_2}{\tau_{0,i}}, \] (7)
with \( \tau_{0,i} = 2/\Delta \omega_i \), the initial pulse widths, and \( \tau_i = \sqrt{\tau_{0,i}^2 + 4c_2^2/\tau_{0,i}} \), the chirped pulse widths. The chirp parameters are \( \alpha = 2c_2/(\tau_{0,1}^2 + 4c_2^2) \) and \( \beta = 2c_2/(\tau_{0,2}^2 + 4c_2^2) \), respectively, for the main and hole pulses. Note that, although Eq. (5) satisfies \( \Theta = 0 \) based on the definition in Eq. (2), the conventional pulse area defined in the time domain \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu E_{\text{total}}(t)/\hbar dt \) for the real envelope \( E_{\text{total}}(t) \) of the total electric field \( E(t) = E_1(t) - E_2(t) \) is generally nonzero for \( c_2 \neq 0 \).

As to be discussed below, this type of chirped zero-area pulse can induce both CPI and CPR of a two-state system. To understand the nature of the given dynamics, we will transform the two-state system in three steps: first to an interaction basis (to freeze the phase evolution of the bare atomic state), then to an adiabatic basis [19] (to trace the system’s state mixing during the interaction), and finally to another interaction basis (to clear out the remaining fast phase evolution of the state). After obtaining the model Hamiltonian, we will discuss the resulting state evolution in terms of Ramsey-like three rotations in the Bloch-sphere representation.

### A. Schrödinger equation described in the interaction picture of the main pulse

The dynamics of the two-state system that interacts with the electric field \( E(t) \) in Eq. (5) is governed by the interaction Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{V}_I \) as
\[ \mathcal{V}_I = \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} -\Delta(t) & \Omega_1(t) \\ \Omega_1(t) & \Delta(t) \end{array} \right] - \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \Omega_2(t) \\ \Omega_2(t) & 0 \end{array} \right] \equiv \mathcal{V}_{I,1} + \mathcal{V}_{I,2}, \] (8)
where \( \Delta(t) = \omega_0 - \omega(t) = -2\alpha t \) is the (instantaneous) detuning [20]. The Rabi frequencies of the main and hole pulses are given, respectively, by
\[ \Omega_1(t) = \frac{\mu}{\hbar} E_1(t), \] (9)
\[ \Omega_2(t) = \frac{\mu}{\hbar} E_2(t)e^{i(\beta - \omega_0^2 t + \varphi_1)}, \] (10)
where we define \( \varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 \) and the time-independent phase \( \varphi_1 \) is included in the base vector for the sake of simplicity.

### B. Dynamics described in the adiabatic basis of the main pulse

In Eq. (8), \( \mathcal{V}_{I,1} \), the first part of the interaction Hamiltonian, varies slowly compared to the second \( \mathcal{V}_{I,2} \), so the system dynamics can be more easily understood in the adiabatic basis [19] of the main pulse. The eigenvalues of \( \mathcal{V}_{I,1} \) are given by
\[ \hbar \lambda_{\pm}(t) = \pm \frac{\hbar}{2} \sqrt{\Omega_1^2(t) + \Delta^2(t)} \] (11)
and the corresponding eigenstates are
\[ |\psi_-(t)\rangle = \cos(\vartheta(t)|0\rangle - \sin(\vartheta(t)|1\rangle, \] (12)
\[ |\psi_+(t)\rangle = \sin(\vartheta(t)|0\rangle + \cos(\vartheta(t)|1\rangle, \] (13)
where \( |0\rangle \) and \( |1\rangle \) form the eigenbasis in the interaction picture and the mixing angle \( \vartheta(t) \) is defined by
\[ \vartheta(t) = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1}\frac{\Omega_1(t)}{\Delta(t)} \text{ for } 0 \leq \vartheta(t) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}. \]

So the two-state system can be described in the adiabatic basis \( |\psi_-(t)\rangle, |\psi_+(t)\rangle \) with the transformation \( |\psi(t)\rangle_A = R(\vartheta)|\psi(t)\rangle_I \), where the rotation \( R(\vartheta) \) is defined by
\[ R(\vartheta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\vartheta(t)) & -\sin(\vartheta(t)) \\ \sin(\vartheta(t)) & \cos(\vartheta(t)) \end{bmatrix}. \] (14)

Note that each adiabatic base vector \( |\psi_-(t)\rangle \) or \( |\psi_+(t)\rangle \) changes from one atomic state to the other, as time evolves from \( t = -\infty \) to \( \infty \). In other words, when \( c_2 > 0 \),
\[ \lim_{t \to -\infty} |\psi_-(t)\rangle = |0\rangle, \quad \lim_{t \to -\infty} |\psi_+(t)\rangle = |1\rangle, \] (15)
and when \( c_2 < 0 \), the relation is reversed, since the time dependence of \( \Delta \) is opposite.
In the given adiabatic basis, the Schrödinger equation is given by
\[ i\hbar \frac{d}{dt}\psi(t)_A = (RV_1 R^{-1} - i\hbar R \dot{R}^{-1})\psi(t)_A, \] (16)
where the second term in the parenthesis can be ignored when the evolution by \(\Omega_1(t)\) is adiabatic, i.e.,
\[ i\hbar R \dot{R}^{-1} = i\hbar \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\theta} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \approx 0, \] (17)
so the resulting interaction Hamiltonian is given by
\[ V_A = \hbar \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_- & -\Omega \left( t \right) \\ -\Omega \left( t \right) & \lambda_+ \end{bmatrix}, \] (18)
where \(\lambda_\pm = \pm \frac{1}{2} \hbar \sqrt{\left| \Delta(t) \right| \left( \Omega(t)^2 \right)}\) for \(t \rightarrow -\infty\)
\[ + \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -\Delta(t) \\ \Omega \left( t \right) \end{bmatrix}, \] (19)
for \(t \rightarrow \infty\).

Note that the phase evolutions of the diagonal terms are opposite to each other. So it is convenient to remove the phase factor \(\exp[i \int_0^t |\Delta(t)| dt']\) through a transformation to another interaction basis, i.e.,
\[ |\psi(t)\rangle_F \equiv T_\Delta |\psi(t)\rangle_A, \] (20)
where \(T_\Delta = \exp[i \int_0^t H(t) dt'/\hbar] \) with
\[ H_\Delta = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -|\Delta(t)| \\ 0 \\ 0 & |\Delta(t)| \end{bmatrix}. \] (21)

The resulting final Hamiltonian becomes
\[ V_F = T_\Delta V_A - H_\Delta T_\Delta^{-1} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -\Omega_\Delta(t) \\ \Omega_\Delta(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \Omega_\Delta(t) \end{bmatrix}, \] (22)
where the effective detuning \(\Delta_F\) and coupling \(\Omega_F\) are given by
\[ \Delta_F = \sqrt{\Omega_1^2 + \Delta^2} + \text{Re} \lambda_\Delta \sin[2\theta(t)] - |\Delta(t)|, \]
\[ \Omega_F = -\cos[2\theta(t)] \text{Re} \lambda_\Delta - i \text{Im} \lambda_\Delta \exp[-i \Delta(t)/2], \] (23)
where \(\text{Im} \lambda_\Delta\) is the imaginary part of \(\Omega_\Delta(t)\). The above Hamiltonian leads to the detuned Rabi oscillation [16] after a second rotating-wave approximation. The remaining time dependence in \(\Omega_F(t)\), the slowly varying phase factor \(e^{i\beta t}\), is negligible for the considered chirp values.

Figure 1(a) plots the Rabi frequencies of the main pulse \(\Omega_\Delta(t)\), the hole \(\Omega_\Delta(t)\), and the total electric field \(|\Omega(t)| = |\Omega_1(t) - \Omega_\Delta(t)|\) of a chirped zero-area pulse that results in a CPR. The numerical values for the given pulse are \(c_2 = 5.1 \times 10^8\) fs\(^2\), \(\Delta \omega_1 = 1.5 \times 10^{15}\) rad/s, and \(\Delta \omega_2 = 1.9 \times 10^{15}\) rad/s. The peak electric field of the main pulse is \(E_0 \Delta \omega_1/\sqrt{2} = 2.3 \times 10^8\) V/m and the dipole moment of atomic rubidium (\(^{85}\)Rb) for linearly polarized light is given by \(\mu = 1.46 \times 10^{-29}\) C m [21,22]. To examine adiabaticity, we use the adiabaticity function \(f(t) = |\Omega(t)\Delta(t) - \Omega_\Delta(t)\Delta_\Delta(t)|/2|\sqrt{\Omega_\Delta^2 + \Delta_\Delta^2}\) [15]. The adiabatic evolution condition is given by \(f(t) \ll 1\). For linearly chirped pulses, the function \(f(t)\) can be explicitly written as
\[ f_1(t) = \frac{\alpha |\Omega_\Delta(t)| (2t^2/t_0^2 + 1)}{[|\Delta_\Delta(t)|^2 + 4\alpha t_0^2]^3}, \] (24)
\[ f_2(t) = \frac{\beta |\Omega_\Delta(t)| (2t^2/t_0^2 + 1)}{[|\Delta_\Delta(t)|^2 + 4\beta^2 t_0^2]^3}, \] (25)
where \(f_1(t)\) and \(f_2(t)\) are the adiabatic functions for the main and the hole pulses, respectively. Note that the pulse width
and the chirp parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are functions of the bandwidth $\Delta\omega_0$ as well as of the linear chirp $c_2$. With the given parameters in Fig. 1, we obtain the adiabatic condition $f_2(t) < 0.2$ for the main pulse with $E_0\Delta\omega_0/\sqrt{2} > 1.2 \times 10^8$ V/m and $c_2 > 2 \times 10^5$ fs$^2$, which ensures the adiabatic evolution by the main pulse. However, we obtain $f_2(t) > 1.2$, so the hole pulse induces simple Rabi rotations, even up to the region $c_2 \simeq 1 \times 10^5$ fs$^2$.

Figure 1(b) shows the time evolution of the transition probability in the adiabatic basis compared with the transition in the bare basis. The overall transition is a CPI in the adiabatic basis or a CPR in the bare basis. We plot in Fig. 1(c) the polar angle $\theta_{\text{rot}}(t)$ of the rotational axis on the Bloch sphere in the adiabatic basis, defined by

$$\theta_{\text{rot}}(t) = \tan^{-1} \frac{|\Omega_F(t)|}{|\Delta_F(t)|}. \quad (26)$$

When $\theta_{\text{rot}} \approx 0$, the given rotation becomes approximately a phase evolution (about the $z$ axis); however, when $\theta_{\text{rot}} = 0.5\pi$, it becomes a Rabi rotation. Therefore, the three plateaus in Fig. 1(c) suggest that there are three distinct regions: In the two tail regions ($t < -\tau_1$ and $t > \tau_1$), the coupling $|\Omega_F|$ is dominant compared to the detuning $|\Delta_F|$, so a Rabi rotation is expected. Figure 1(b) clearly shows that, in both tail regions, Rabi rotations of $\pi/2$ (starting from the transition probability zero to 0.5 and from 0.5 to 1, respectively) occur in the adiabatic basis. However, in the central region ($-\tau_1 < t < \tau_1$), the detuning $|\Delta_F|$ is large, causing approximately a phase evolution of the system.

1. Tail regions $|\Delta(t)| \gg \Omega_1(t)$

In the tail regions ($t < -\tau_1$ and $t > \tau_1$), the detuning $|\Delta(t)|$ greatly exceeds the main-pulse interaction $\Omega_1(t)$, i.e., $|\Delta(t)| \gg \Omega_1(t)$. So we get $\Delta F \rightarrow 0$ and $\Omega_F \rightarrow -|\Omega_2| e^{i\phi}$ (for $t < \tau_1$) or $|\Omega_2| e^{-i\phi}$ (for $t > \tau_1$). Given that, the Hamiltonian in Eq. (22) is approximated, when the slowly varying phase $e^{i\beta t}$ in $\Omega_2$ is neglected, as

$$V_F \approx \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Omega_2(t)e^{i(\pi+\phi)} \\ \Omega_2(t)e^{-i(\pi+\phi)} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad t < -\tau_1$$

$$\approx \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Omega_2(t)e^{i\phi} \\ \Omega_2(t)e^{-i\phi} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad t > \tau_1, \quad (27)$$

which means that the dynamics in the tail regions are rotations on the Bloch-sphere surface, represented by $R_{-\pi+\phi}(\Theta_2)$ and $R_{-\phi}(\Theta_2)$, respectively, for $t < \tau_1$ and $t > \tau_1$. The rotation axes are on the $xy$ plane, of which the directions are defined by azimuthal angles $\phi = \pi + \psi$ and $\phi = -\psi$, respectively. The rotation angles are given by

$$\Theta_2^- = \int_{-\infty}^{-\tau_1} |\Omega_2(t)| dt, \quad \Theta_2^+ = \int_{\tau_1}^{\infty} |\Omega_2(t)| dt, \quad (28)$$

as a function of the hole pulse $E_2(t)$, and $\Theta_2^+ = \Theta_2^-$ due to the symmetry.

2. Central region $|\Delta(t)| \ll \Omega_1(t)$

In the central time region ($-\tau_1 < t < \tau_1$), the main pulse $E_1(t)$ plays an important role. To understand the dynamics in this region, we consider an extreme approximation under the conditions $\Omega_1(t) \gg |\Delta(t)|$ and $\Delta(t) \gg |\Omega_2(t)|$. (Note that the case depicted in Fig. 1 is different from this extreme case.) Then we get $\Delta_F \approx \Omega_1(t) - |\Delta(t)|$, which leads to

$$V_F \approx \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -\Omega_1(t) + |\Delta(t)| & 0 \\ 0 & -\Omega_1(t) - |\Delta(t)| \end{bmatrix}. \quad (29)$$

The resulting two-state dynamics is the rotation about the $z$ axis, or $R_z(\Theta_1)$, by an angle

$$\Theta_1 = \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} [\Omega_2(t) - |\Delta(t)|] dt \quad (30)$$

defined by the main pulse $E_1(t)$.

As a result, the overall dynamics of the two-state system by the chirped zero-area pulse (in the transformed adiabatic basis) can be summarized as

$$R_{-\phi}(\Theta_2^+) R_z(\Theta_1) R_{\pi+\phi}(\Theta_2^-). \quad (31)$$

This manifestation of the three-step interaction is reminiscent of the Ramsey rotation often described by $R_{-\pi/2}(\Theta_1) R_{-\phi}(\Theta_2) R_{\pi/2}(\Theta_1)$, from which the difference is to be discussed.

Note that the necessary condition required (in our consideration) for the Ramsey-type three-pulse sequence (first, Rabi rotation; second, adiabatic evolution; third, Rabi rotation) modeling is in fact the contrast in the spectral width between the main and hole pulses. When the spectral width of the main pulse is large enough, chirping makes the main pulse satisfy the adiabatic condition, while the same amount of chirp makes the spectrally narrow hole pulse remain as a Rabi-inducing pulse. Therefore, pulses of smooth envelope shapes other than Gaussian, when they have the spectral hole and the chirp, could be approximated to a Ramsey-type three-pulse sequence. Also, the three-pulse Ramsey sequence model captures the population dynamics of the system when it is initially in the ground state, i.e., it is shown to be applicable for population dynamics with such an initial state.

III. CALCULATION RESULTS

Figure 2 presents the numerical simulation for the two-state system dynamics induced by the chirped zero-area pulses. For the numerical calculation, the Schrödinger equation in Eq. (16) is used with $\Delta\omega_1 = 1.5 \times 10^{13}$ rad/s and $\Delta\omega_2 = 1.9 \times 10^{12}$ rad/s. In Fig. 2(a) the probability of the transition to the excited state in a bare atomic basis is shown as a function of $\Theta_{\text{Rabi}}$ and $c_2$, where $\Theta_{\text{Rabi}}$ denotes the effective Rabi rotation phase for the main pulse with zero chirp, defined by

$$\Theta_{\text{Rabi}} = \frac{\mu}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_1(t; c_2 = 0) dt. \quad (32)$$

Note that $\Theta_{\text{Rabi}}$ was varied by changing $E_0$, the electric-field amplitude, in the experiment and calculation. We can identify several CPR and CPI regions in Fig. 2: The CPR regions appear as localized dark spots, beside the wide dark region in low pulse energies; the CPI regions are bright strips. To understand the dynamics, we select a few characteristic points in Fig. 2(a) and trace their quantum trajectories in the adiabatic basis. In Figs. 2(b) and 2(c) the trajectories are plotted on the $(\theta, \phi)$ surface of the Bloch sphere.
of \( \pi/2 \) about a rotation axis in the \( xy \) plane, a wobbling rotation about the \( z \) axis, and again a \( \pi/2 \) rotation about another axis in the \( xy \) plane. Note that the azimuthal rotation angles for the second wobbling rotations for the A, B, and \( \Omega \) trajectories are about \( 2\pi, 4\pi, \) and \( 6\pi \), respectively. The CPR trajectories are therefore represented in accord with Eq. (31) by

\[
R_{-\varphi}(\pi/2)R_z(2n\pi + \gamma)R_{\pi/2}(\pi/2),
\]

where \( n \) is a positive integer. It is noted that \( \gamma = -\varphi - (\pi + \varphi) = \pi - 2\varphi \) compensates for the angular difference between the first and third rotation axes, explaining the deviation of the azimuthal rotation angle from \( 2n\pi \). Here, from Eqs. (7) and (10), \( \varphi \) is given by \( \varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 = [\tan^{-1}(2c_2/\tau_0^2_1) -
\tan^{-1}(2c_2/\tau_0^2_0)]/2 \). Since \( \Delta\omega_1 > \Delta\omega_2 \), \( \gamma \) varies from \( \pi \) to \( -\pi/2 \), while \( c_2 \) varies from 0 fs\(^2\) to 100 000 fs\(^2\) and \( \gamma \approx 0.6\pi \) at A, B, and \( \Omega \). Similarly, the trajectories for \( \varphi \) and \( \Omega \) can be approximately represented by

\[
R_{-\varphi}(3\pi/2)R_z(2n\pi + \gamma)R_{3\pi/2}(\pi/2) \quad \text{and} \quad R_{-\varphi}(3\pi/2)R_z(4\pi + \gamma)R_{3\pi/2}(\pi/2),
\]

respectively.

The actual trajectories in Fig. 2(b) deviate slightly from the approximated model in Eq. (31). It can be explained that, in the central region, the rotation axis is not perfectly aligned with the \( z \) axis, causing the wobbling of the \( z \) rotation. As a result, CPRs do not occur exactly at \( \Theta_2 = n\pi + \pi/2 \). Also, in the tail regions, the mixing angle \( \vartheta(t) \) does not converge to 0 (for \( t < -t_1 \)) or \( \pi/2 \) (for \( t > t_1 \)) during the evolution and gives \( \cos(2\vartheta(t)) = 1 - \delta \) (for \( t < -t_1 \) and \( \cos(2\vartheta(t)) = 1 + \delta \) (for \( t > t_1 \)) in Eq. (23), where \( \delta \approx 0 \) is a small deviation. Since the azimuthal angle of a rotation axis is given by \( \arg(\Omega(t)) \), the actual azimuthal angle difference between the two rotation axes becomes smaller than the model. Thus, as shown in Fig. 2(a), the CPRs (A, B, and \( \Omega \) occur near \( \Theta_1 = 2n\pi + 0.5\pi \), rather than \( \Theta_1 = 2n\pi + \gamma \) (\( \approx 2n\pi + 0.6\pi \)).

The intermediate region between the tail regions and the central region, appearing in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c) around the end of the vertical evolution and the beginning of the wobbling \( z \) rotation, is short in time compared to other regions (the ratio is roughly 0.2 compared to the central region). Also, the change of the rotation axis is much faster (roughly 5 times faster) than the rotation. Therefore, as shown in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c), there is no significant influence on the dynamics in the intermediate region.

### IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experimental investigation of the chirped-zero area-pulse interaction of the two-state system is performed with shaped intense laser pulses and cold atomic vapor. The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 3. Femtosecond laser pulses were first produced from a homemade Ti:sapphire laser oscillator with an 80-MHz repetition rate and amplified about 5 \( \times 10^5 \) times by a homemade Ti:sapphire multipass amplifier operating at a 1-kHz repetition rate. The wavelength of the pulses was centered at \( \lambda_0 = 794.7 \) nm, resonant to the \(^{85}\text{Rb} \) \( S_{1/2} \rightarrow 5P_{1/2} \) transition. The two states of the quantum system were \( \lvert 0 \rangle = \lvert 5S_{1/2} \rangle \) and \( \lvert 1 \rangle = \lvert 5P_{1/2} \rangle \). The laser bandwidth was \( \Delta\lambda_{\text{FWHM}} = 7 \) nm (\( \Delta\omega_1 = 1.5 \times 10^{13} \) rad/s), which was narrow enough to restrict the quantum system to

![Diagram](https://via.placeholder.com/150)
a two-state system, and the corresponding pulse duration was \( \tau_{0,1} \simeq 140 \, \text{fs} \). Since the hyperfine splittings of \(|5S_{1/2}\rangle\) and \(|5P_{1/2}\rangle\) states (up to 3 GHz) are much smaller than the laser bandwidth of THz order, the hyperfine states can be reduced to a two-state system through the Morris-Shore transform [21], giving \( \mu = 1.46 \times 10^{-23} \, \text{C m} \) [22].

Each femtosecond laser pulse was divided into two: the first (control) pulse after being shaped to a chirped zero-area pulse induced by the atomic transition and the second (probe) pulse was frequency-doubled to ionize atoms in the excited state. The chirped zero-area pulse was prepared in two stages: The laser pulse was first frequency chirped, up to \( c_2 = 6 \times 10^4 \, \text{fs}^2 \), by an acousto-optic programmable dispersive filter (Dazzler from Fastlite) [23], and the spectrum near the resonance was removed by a spectral block in the Fourier plane defined by a 4\(f\)-geometry Martinez zero-dispersion stretcher (homemade) [24,25], which consisted of a pair of \( R = 500 \) mm cylindrical mirrors and a pair of gratings with 1800 grooves/mm. For the spectral block, we used various metal wires of which the spectral width ranges from 200 to 1000 GHz in full width at half maximum (FWHM), or \( \Delta \omega_2 = 7.7 \times 10^{11} \sim 3.9 \times 10^{12} \, \text{rad/s} \) in Gaussian width. After the two-stage pulse shaping, the laser pulse energy was up to 20 \( \mu J \).

We used a conventional magneto-optical trap (MOT) to spatially isolate the rubidium atoms [26]. By adjusting the diameters of the cooling and repumping laser beams, an atomic cloud of about 200 \( \mu \text{m} \) diameter and 6 \( \times 10^9 \) \( \text{cm}^2 \) atom density was prepared. The atoms were tightly confined in particular to achieve a uniform laser-atom interaction [27]. When the laser pulses were focused onto the atomic vapor, the laser beam diameter of 600 \( \mu \text{m} \) was about 3 times bigger than the diameter of the atom cloud. With this diameter ratio, we achieved 95% high fidelity for a \( \pi \)-area transform-limited pulse excitation. The laser pulse energy (up to 20 \( \mu J \)) with the given beam diameter was equivalent to \( \Theta_{\text{max}} = 3.5\pi \). After interacting with the control pulse (the chirped zero-area pulse), atoms in the excited state were ionized by the probe pulse and the resulting ions were measured by a multichannel plate detector. The overall experimental cycle controlled by
mechanical shutters in the laser beam lines was 2 Hz to grant the restoration of the MOT.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 4(a) shows the experimental result. By varying the power and the chirp parameter $c_2$ of the chirped zero-area pulse, the excited-state population was measured. The spectral block of $\Delta f = 500$ GHz (FWHM) ($\Delta \omega_2 = 1.9 \times 10^{12}$ rad/s) around the resonance was removed in the 4$f$-geometry stretcher. In comparison, the corresponding numerical calculation is shown in Fig. 4(b). The numerical calculation is based on Eq. (16). Since the experiment was performed under the condition of nonuniform spatial profiles of the laser pulse and the atom cloud, the calculation took into account the spatial averaging effect [27], where $\phi_{\text{Rabi}}^{\text{max}}$ in the x axis denotes the maximum of the Gaussian distribution of $\phi_{\text{Rabi}}$. The measured populations were calibrated to probabilities by using the first peak of Rabi oscillations as a reference. Within the available measurement region, the experimental result shows that the dark CPR region is surrounded by the bright CPI region, in a good agreement with the theoretical calculation. In addition, we probed the effect of the width of the spectral hole. The frequency chirp was fixed at $c_2 = 5 \times 10^4$ fs$^2$ and the spectral hole was varied from 200 to 1000 GHz (FWHM). In Fig. 4(c) the measured pulse energies (shown with squares) for the first CPI peaks are displayed in comparison with the corresponding numerical calculation (dots).

We now consider possible applications of the chirped zero-area excitation implicated from the results obtained in this study. Since the two-state system interacting with the chirped zero-area pulse can undergo, amid the adiabatic evolution ($z$ rotation) by the main pulse, Rabi-like rotation due to the nonadiabatic interaction by the hole pulse, an interplay between Rabi-like rotations and adiabatic evolutions can be made by shaping the spectral width and position of the hole. This approach can be a powerful and alternative control means in selective excitation of, in particular, multistate systems. In a $V$-type system [28] of $5S_{1/2}, 5P_{3/2}$, and $5P_{1/2}$ in rubidium, for example, a numerical simulation (not shown) with chirped zero-area pulses results in over 97% population of the system driven to either excited state, by simply changing the pulse intensity only. Also, this control method implicates that the laser spatial profile is also useful for position-dependent selective excitations, which can be applied to, for example, atom or ion qubits in spatial arrangements [29,30].

VI. CONCLUSION

We have presented our theoretical and experimental investigation of the two-state system dynamics under the interaction of chirped zero-area pulses. In experiments, we used femtosecond laser pulses of which the resonant spectral component was removed and then the pulses were spectrally chirped. These chirped zero-area pulses drove coherent excitations of the resonant $5S_{1/2}-5P_{1/2}$ transition of rubidium atoms that were tightly confined in a MOT with a fraction of the laser spatial profile to ensure uniform laser-atom interactions. The interplay between the adiabatic evolution and Rabi-like rotations, both of which were simultaneously induced by the chirped zero-area pulses, has been probed. We have shown that the given dynamics can be modeled to the Ramsey-type three-pulse interaction, in good agreement with the experimental results. The underlying mechanism behind the observed coherent dynamics can be understood based on the nature of the chirped zero-area pulse: The chirped zero-area pulse is a sum of two pulses with different bandwidths and the broadband pulse (the main pulse) makes adiabatic evolution even by a small amount of chirp. However, the narrow-band pulse (the hole pulse) makes either adiabatic or non-adiabatic evolution (thus Rabi rotation). As a result, the latter switches on or off a Rabi transition amid the adiabatic evolution induced by the former. The result suggests a design scheme of laser pulse shaping towards selective excitation in multistate atoms, by embedding a Rabi-like rotation in adiabatic evolutions.
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